



RYAN KROMHOLZ & MANION, S.C.  
POST OFFICE BOX 26618  
MILWAUKEE WI 53226

**COPY MAILED**

JUN 01 2005

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Application of  
Alan L. Kaganov et al.  
Application No. 10/808,216  
Filed: March 24, 2004  
Attorney Docket No. 9494.18

:  
:  
: DECISION ON PETITION  
: UNDER 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6)  
:

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) filed November 15, 2004, to accept an unintentionally delayed claim under 35 U.S.C. §120 for the benefit of the prior-filed provisional application set forth in the concurrently filed amendment. The petition is treated under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6), to accept an unintentionally delayed claim under 35 U.S.C. §119(e).

A petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6) is only applicable to those applications filed on or after November 29, 2000. Further, the petition is appropriate only after expiration of the period specified in 37 CFR 1.78(a)(5)(ii) and must be filed during the pendency of the nonprovisional application. In addition, the petition must be accompanied by:

- (1) the reference required by 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(5)(i) to the prior-filed application, unless previously submitted;
- (2) the surcharge set forth in § 1.17(t); and
- (3) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(5)(ii) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional.

The amendment submitted concurrently with the instant petition as drafted is unacceptable and, therefore, is not considered a proper reference under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(i). In this regard, the amendment is physically part of the instant petition and, as such, does not comply with 37 CFR 1.121, 1.52, or 1.4(c). Note that 37 CFR 1.121 states that amendments are made by filing a paper, in compliance with § 1.52, directing that specified amendments be made. The pertinent section of 37 CFR 1.52 states that the claim (in this case, the claim for priority), must commence on a separate physical sheet. 37 CFR 1.4(c) states that each distinct subject must be contained in a separate paper since different matters may be considered by different branches of the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

In view of the above, the petition is **DISMISSED**.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail:                   Mail Stop PETITIONS  
                                Commissioner for Patents  
                                Post Office Box 1450  
                                Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By hand:                   Customer Window located at:  
                                U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
                                220 20<sup>th</sup> Street S  
                                Customer Window, Mail Stop Petitions  
                                Crystal Plaza Two Lobby, Room 1B03  
                                Arlington, VA 22202

By fax:                    (703) 872-9306  
                                ATTN: Office of Petitions

Any questions concerning this matter may be directed to Senior Petitions Attorney Patricia Faison-Ball at (571) 272-3212.



Frances Hicks  
Petitions Examiner  
Office of Petitions  
Office of the Deputy Commissioner  
for Patent Examination Policy